

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/770,643	KAIZUKA, MASAO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold M. Kinkead	2817	

All Participants:

 (1) Arnold M. Kinkead.

 (2) Mr. L. Donovan.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

double patenting issue

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

10/647,929
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Terminal disclaimer filed, approved and entered(02-06-07). Previous TD was not correct; has been disapproved.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)